121

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1897.
~~191~~ Municipal
(~~Local Board~~)

File No. 121 of 97

Serial Nos. 1 — 11

Later references.

L. B. 4-18 No 1, 2, 5 & 6.

ML 49-388 & 90, 94, 112, 152 & 171

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 ——— 11.

Major ~~Part~~ B for May 1899.

SUBJECT.

Instructions for fixing remuneration to Village Servants for Collecting municipal taxes

---

1. By: No. Letter No ¥ 3249-56 (Off: Memo) dated 9.9.97

To, The By: Commrs of Dists

Requests them to inform Govt: of any difficulty which they feel to induce Village Servants to undertake the work of Collecting Municipal taxes

Dy 13.9.97.

2. 2450 / 1612. From, The Muni: Presdt: Bangalore City. 975 / 273

Reports that no difficulty is experienced in getting Village Servants to Collect muni: taxes.

GPB—10,000—20-8-15

P. T. O

The Head Board have taken over that work & a repetition over right will not yet been spread - It is a pity that the Board have been allowed to have been received & should be carried out on the old system

Dbs
5.1.97
VOL VII

Recd 12 May 97
S.I.

O.M.

RL604

9.9.97

The Dy Comm.rs of Districts will be requested to report at an early date whether in Municipalities, where the Maktufa collections are small a higher percentage than the Sanctioned Scale (2 per cent) should not be fixed if so the rates they would propose for each town

3249-5-69
Lt 295-96 9/9/97

n and have not been taken into account in fixing the Paha poteçe of the village servants,

any difficulty
do felt in collecting
Village Servants
to undertake
collection by
receiving remuneration
of 2 per cent as
fixed in Bro No
31st Octo 1892, & pay
other percentage
they would propose
on what grounds

14-9-97

Subsistence 9/9

Secretary
9/9

5-954-63
Lt 157-97

Do The Dy Commrs
of Districts

DR
512
Vol VII

Gen. 31] 24-0 1897-98

From The Grand President
Bangalore Brotherhood

To the Secretary Govt. Survey
No 125-9-95/98

The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Rev & Genl Depts.

Dated 11th

Despatched

Received

General No.

No. 34

Enclosure No.

Secretary Govt Mysore
No 3249-56 dt 9th Jan
F 295-96
1897 —

Reports that no difficulty is anticipated in getting village servants to collect Grand [illegible]

1888

14-9-97

Section Judicial
File No 121 of 97
Serial No

No.

Bangalore District
Municipal Resident's Office
Bangalore 10th September 1897.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Rev. & Genl. Departments

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memo No. 3249-56 / L7 295-96 of the 9th September 1897, enquiring if any difficulty is felt in inducing the Village Servants to undertake the collection of Municipal taxes, receiving a remuneration of 2 per cent of the amount collected, I beg to state that no difficulty is experienced.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obdt. servant

M. Venkatarangaiya

Municipal Resident

2

L 611

Gen. 31.]

1897
2-85

LBR
1879/97

DR
5124
Vol VII

From The Chairman
Municipal Board
Kolar Goldfields

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated 13th September 1894
Despatched 17th.
Received
General No. 975
No. 273
Enclosure No.

Section Municipal
Dy No 121 of 97
Serial No 2

Adverting to his official memorandum No 3249-56 dated 7th. L.F. 295-96 9th Instant has the honour to report that no difficulty is felt in inducing village servants to undertake Mohadarfa collections in minor Municipalities by receiving a remuneration of 2 per cent as fixed in Government Procs No 5954-63 dated 31st October 1892 L.F. 57.92

for President

(General Serial No. 30.)

3949 1897-98

From The President of the Municipalities in the Vinukonda District

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore General Revenue Depts.

Dated 5 November 1897

Despatched 6 "

Received

Enclosures No.

General No 450

No.

Section Miscl.

File No 1210-97

Serial No 54

His official memorandum No 0249-56 dated 9th September 1897 — L. Dis. 295-96

Reports as to the difficulty in inducing village servants to undertake Inoculation collections by receiving a remuneration of 2 per cent —

4868

Encl.

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—6-3-97.—10,002.

No 450 Office of the President of the
Municipalities of the
Jaffna District

Dated, Jaffna, 5th November 1897.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
Ceylon; General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

In reply to your official Memo-
randum No. 3249-56, dated 9th September
L. 7295-96
1897, enquiring whether any difficulty is
felt in inducing Village Servants em-
-ployed in the collection of Mohalerpa
in Minor Municipalities to do the
collection work on a remuneration
of 2 per cent, in cases in which the
Mohalerpa has not been taken into
account in fixing the Pakka Potije
now paid to them, I have the honor to
state, that none of the Shamboque
and Potails of the Municipal Towns
in this District receives any separate
allowance for the collection of the
Mohalerpa therein. It is believed
that in fixing the Pakka Potije of
these village Servants, Mohalerpa
Revenue has been taken into account

Reference is invited to the Correspondence
ending with Government Memorandum
No: 3977 dated 1st June 1892 on the
2483
subject.

2. Now that the whole of the Municipal Land Revenue has been transferred to the Municipalities, I see no reason why the Municipality should not be charged with the cost of Collecting it. The rates of remuneration should, I think, be the same as those sanctioned for the Collection of Land Revenue in the Rules for the Remuneration of Village Servants vide Notification No: 219 dated 27th October 1874.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

M Narain Rao
President

vl

1897 -98.

From The President of the Municipality, Mysore District.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 13th November 1897.
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 577

Proposing that 5 per cent will be a fair and decent remuneration on the Mohaturfa collections to be divided equally between the Patels and the Village ...

8

No.

Enclosures No. —

OFFICE OF THE President of the municipalities,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 13th November 1897

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to Government official memorandum no: 3249-56 / L.7 295-96 dated 9th September 1897, calling for a report as to the sufficiency or otherwise of the present rate of 2 P.C remuneration on the Mohatarfa Collections in the minor municipalities, I have the honor to state that I consulted the several Vice Presidents and the Sub-division Officer, French Rocks, on the subject and their opinions are varied as to the exact higher rate of percentage to be given to those that collect the Mohatarfa, but I agree with most of them who strongly urge for a higher rate as the present one is insufficient and too small a sum to be divided between the Patel and the Shanbhog and

and is not commensurate with the trouble devolving on them for collecting small sums from a very large number of tenants. Since the Shanbhog is required to keep only 2 or 3 registers but not the same number of accounts as in the case of village, he is not in my opinion entitled to a very higher remuneration than the Patel. Under these circumstances, I propose that 5 p.c will be a fair and decent remuneration to be divided equally between the Patel and the Shanbhog.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

President of the Municipality
Mysore district

Compd

4 1.

10

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

4847.

1897. 4-12-97.

From The Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug District

To The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government of ~~Dewan~~ Mysore.

General and Revenue Department

Dated 30th November

Despatched 1st December 1897.

Received

Enclosures No. ..

General No. 499 of 1897-98

No. 470

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Stating that no difficulty is experienced in the collection work by the Village Officers of Mohatarfa Revenue in Minor Municipalities

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

LBB

3-12-97

No: 499/470.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Chitaldrug District
Dated 30th November 1897

To

The Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, General and Revenue
Department

Sir

With reference to your official memorandum No. 3249-56/L.T. 295-96 dated 9th September last I have the honour to state that no difficulty is experienced in this District in the collection work by the Village Officers of Mohatarfa revenue in minor Municipalities.

2. I am of opinion that two per cent on the actual is Mohatarfa

12

Mohatarfa collections in
Minor Municipalities
is a fair remuneration
to the Village officers who
undertake the collection work.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

L 7169

The File of papers Contained in
G.O. No. 576 1-3 of 13/12/97
Regd No 1004
was sent to Govt. Legislative Dept.
on 26/5/97 for Opinion and Orders
(Criminal) No 1,30 of 30/4/97.

13

4915 1897
6-12-97 7-98

From The President of Municipalities. Shimoga District

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Legal & Rev Departts

Dated 2nd December 1897

Despatched

Received

General No 648

No. 27

Enclosure No.

Section Terminal
121 of 97
No

With reference to Government Proceedings No 3269-5 L 7295-9 dated the 9th September 1897 recommends that remuneration may be paid to village servants for collecting Kuhalarpa of certain Municipalities according to the scales fixed in the Pakka Potta settlement.

L 7 —
6 12 97 11

Office of the Vice-President of
Municipalities. Shimoga District.

No 6665/4295

Shimoga 2d December 1897.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departts.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No 3249-56/4295-96 dated the 9th September 1897 calling for the submission of a report as to whether any higher percentage than 2 should be given to village servants for collecting the Mohatarfa of certain Municipalities in the District, and your subsequent Reminders Nos 4569/295-96 dated the 23rd October and 5233-8/295-96 dated the 10th November 1897, I have the honour to state that in all the Municipalities except Shimoga and Shikarpur, the remuneration due to the village servants has been fixed according to the scale laid down for Pakka Potgi

Settlement.

2. In the Shimoga Municipality, a separate establishment at a cost of Rs 252 has been maintained since the 1st July 1895 as sanctioned in Government Proceedings No 3439-40 dated the 10th September 1895 for collecting the Mohatarfa. L.7170-94
The amount to be collected is Rs 8000 and odd, and there are more than 3000 houses and the population of the town is over 11,000. In accordance with a late order of Government (Government Proceedings No 540-9 R187 715-7-97) the Amildar has to write up the accounts more regularly than hitherto, and prepare the Mohatarfa account every year. The present establishment costs as much as Patel and Karnam would cost together. But the work is

more than can be managed by one man and so I shall lay the matter before the Board and submit any proposal for increase that may be agreed upon -

3. Duly in the Shikarpur Municipality a remuneration of 2 per cent on the entire collection of the Mohalarfa has been paid to the Sanboys and Pátels as sanctioned in Government Proceedings c/o $\frac{5161-3}{R\ 2004}$ dated the 3d December 1895 - As the present remuneration paid to the Sanboys and Pátels is too small for the work they have to perform, I beg to recommend that the concession shown to the other Minor Municipalities of this District may be extended to this Municipality also, that is, that the remuneration may be paid

to them according to the scale fixed in the Pakka Potgi Settlement. This will have to be calculated on about Rupees 3000/- according to the scale laid down for remunerating Sanbogs and Patels in fully settled villages.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
H. Manjunadayya
President of Municipalities
Shimoga District.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1897.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Hazara District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government ~~Dewan of Mysore~~
General and Revenue Depts.
Baroda

Dated 31st December 1897.

Despatched 3rd January 1898.

Received

Enclosures No. none

General No.
No. 6/94

In reply to Rec. 3249-56 dt. 29.9.97
L. F. 295-96

SUBJECT.

Reporting that no difficulty is felt in inducing village servants to undertake Mahatmfa Collections by receiving a remuneration of ½ per cent as fixed in Government Rec: No 5954-62 L 2 157-92 dt 31st October 1892.

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Hassan District.

No. 66 Dated Hassan 31st December 97

From,

B. G. Krishnamiyar Esqre.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Rev: Depts.
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to Govern-
-ment official memorandum No.
3249-56
L. A. 295-96 Dated 9th September last
requesting the Deputy Commissioners
of Districts to report whether in
Minor-Municipalities, where
the Mohatarfa Collections are
small and have not been taken
into account in fixing the
Pukka Potails of the village Serv-
-ants, any difficulty is felt in
inducing them to undertake
Collection by receiving a
remuneration of 2 per cent as
fixed

fixed in Government Proceedings
No. 5954-63 Dated 31st October
L. R. 157-92
1892 do, I have the honor to
state that, in the Municipalities
& in the District, where
Mohatwa Collections have
not been taken into account
in fixing the Potagi of the village
servants, no difficulty is felt
in inducing them to under-
take Collection by receiving
the 2 per cent Commission —
fixed in the order of Govern-
ment quoted above. —

I have the honor to be
Sirs,
Your most obedient servant

21 31 1897 6956 14/2/98

DR 5138 Vol VII.

From The President, Municipal Boards - Kadur District

To The Secretary to Government General & Rev. Departments

Dated 9th February 98

Despatched 12

Received

General No. 105

No.

Section Financial

File No. 121 of 97

Serial No. 8

Enclosure No. 3

Submitting report on the Mohatarfa collections by Village servants in the several Municipalities of the Kadur District.

1881 14/1/98

Municipal President's office

Kadur District

Dated Chikmagalur 9th February 98

From

The President, Municipal Boards

Kadur District

To

The Secretary to Government

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to Government official memorandum No 3249-56 & 5295-96 dated 9th September last, requesting to report whether in Minor Municipalities where the mohatarfa collections are small and have not been taken into account in fixing the Pakka-patgi of village-servants any difficulty is felt in inducing village servants, to undertake the mohatarfa collection by receiving a remuneration of 2 per cent as fixed in Government Proceedings No. 5954 & 157-92 dated 31st October 1892, and if so, what other percentage

I would propose and on what grounds

have the honour to submit as under -

2 There are in all eight Municipalities in the District viz -

1) Chikmagalur	5) Tarikere
2) Birur	6) Kadur
3) Kadehalli	7) Ajjampur
4) Sakrepatna	8) Mudigere

3 From the Survey Superintendents letter No 355 dated 25th November last a copy of which is enclosed for ready reference, it will be seen that with the exception of Rs 1-8 -, and Rs 2-8 being respectively the collections at the Suburbs of the Towns of Chikmagalur and Kadur, no mohatarfa Collections as regards the Municipalities of Chikmagalur Kadur and Birur were taken into account For per cent remuneration on the entire mohatarfa collections is however being paid to village servants only in the Municipalities of Chikmagalur and Birur as per Section ..

Proceedings No 5954 / S.S 157-92 dated 31st October 92

4 The Vice-President, (Amildar) of the Tarikere Municipality, in his report dated 12th ultimo, states that a fotge of Rs 82/- including contingencies on mohatarfa collections was fixed for that Municipality in the year 1878-79 and that the said amount is being paid to village servants ever since this fact is not verified by the Survey Superintendent in his letter referred to above.

5. As regards Ajjampur and Yedehalli, I beg to state, that Municipalities had been established in those places before Pakka-fotge was settled in respect of them, and that half of the mohatarfa collections was, till a few years ago, being credited to Provincial Funds as per rules then in force, and the same moiety was taken into account by the Survey Superintendent in fixing the Fotge of the village servants in these two Municipalities.

6. There having been no Municipality at Sakrepatna or at Mudgere at the time of

fixing the final remuneration of village servants, - the entire mohatarfa collections of the settlement year was taken into account by the Survey Superintendent in assessing the fotgi, for the two places named

7 Accompanying is a statement showing the Demand &c under Mohatarfa in each of the Municipalities in this District for the year 1896-97, the amount of Mohatarfa collections taken into account in fixing the fakka-fotgi of village servants as per Survey Superintendent's letter referred to in para 3 above, and the balance not taken into account, i e to be hereafter assessed with fotgi.

8 I may add that the mohatarfa collections of the Municipalities in the District have greatly increased since the fixing of the fakka-fotgi remuneration by the Survey Department

9 Though, generally, little difficulty was

be apprehended in inducing village servants to undertake the collection work on a remuneration of 2 percent, the amount they expect to get from the minor Municipalities is much less than what they actually receive from Government villages; while the work expected of them in Municipal Towns is greater

10 In these circumstances, I respectfully venture to propose a uniform rate of remuneration of 3 percent on the entire Mohatarfa Collection on every Municipality being allowed to the village servants in supercession of the existing various frotgi rates at which the several Municipalities in the District now remunerate the village servants on account of Municipal Mohatarfa Collections.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

President, Municipal Boards
Kadur District

'Statement showing the Demand &c under Mohatarfa in each of the Municipalities, Kadur District

Serial No.	Municipality	Demand under Mohatarfa for 1896-97			Amount of Mohatarfa collections taken into account in framing the final Assessment of Bill Services as per Survey Yuktis dated 25th Nov 1897			Balance to be assessed with Potgi			Remarks
1	Chikmagalur	7488	-			1	8	7486	8	-	Potgi at the municipal rate of 2 p.c on the years collection is being paid to village servants on account of Chikmagalur Municipality
2	Tarikere	3546	-	-		-	-	3546	-	-	Potgi at the village rate viz. a total sum of 82 Rs is being paid. (Contingencies included)
3	Birur	3496	8	-		-	-	3496	8	-	Remarks same as for Chikmagalur Municipality.
4	Kadur	1502	8	-		24	8	-	1478	-	-
5	Yedehalli	877	-	-		337	-		540	-	-
6	Ajjampur	1548	-			394	8	-	1153	8	-
7	Sakrepatna	1034	8			260	-	-	774	8	-
8	Mudgere	766	8	-		389	8	-	377	-	-
	Total	20259	-	-		1407	-	-	18,852	-	-

Chikmagalur
9th February 98

President, Municipal Bd
Kadur District

28

No 355
Encl

Survey Superintendents office
Bangalore. 25th November 97

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

Sir,

I have the honour, in reply to your letter No 121 of the 17th instant, to annex a statement showing the amount of Mohatarfa collections taken into account in fixing the final remuneration of village officers in the Municipal Towns named in your letter, and to state that there is nothing to show on the records of this office whether the collections related to Municipal Mohatarfa or otherwise

I have &c

Sd/ J P Grant.
Supdt Mysore Res Survey.

"Copy"

President, Municipal Boards
Kadur District

29

Statement showing the amount of Mohatarfa Collections taken into account in fixing the final remuneration of village officers in the following places

No	Name	Amount			Remarks
1	Chikmagalur	1	8	"	
2	Tarikere	"	"	"	
3	Birur	"	"	"	
4	Kadur	24	8	"	
5	Gedehalli	337	"	"	
6	Ajjampur	394	8	"	
7	Sakrepatna	260	"	"	
8	Mudgere	389	8	"	

(Sd) J.P Grant
Supdt. Mysore Rev. Survey

Copy

President, Munpl Board
Kadur District

Gen. 50.]

9004
1898 1574/98

From The Supdt. of your Rev Survey

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore.
Gl & Rev Departt

Section Journal
File No 121 of 97
No 19

Dated 14th April 1898

Despatched

Received

Enclosure No.

General No. 721
No.

In reply to Govt Rec. dp. 12594 L7/295-96 d/s 2nd April/98

SUBJECT

Reporting on the subject of collection of Mohatarfa in Minor Municipalities to be taken into account in fixing the Police

To the Samuel 27043
L.7295-96.

Records to be sent to the Dewan's Office).

2/5/98

2.5.98

Please refer to your letter No C 29-92, dated 24-4-98

31-5-98

No 721

Survey Superintendent's Office

Bangalore 14th April 1898

To

The Secretary to the Government

of Mysore Gland Revenue

Dept

Sir

With reference to Go

Proceedings No. 12594 dated 5th
L-7/295-96

April 1898 on the subject of

collection of Mohatarfa in

Minor Municipalities I have

the honor to report as follows.

2 Since the receipt of

Proceedings of Government

No. 3977 dated 1st June 1892
L 483

Mohatarfa has not been taken

into account at all in Muni

cipalities. Previous to that

Mohatarfa

Mohatarfa was taken into account but I have no means of ascertaining whether a portion or the whole was taken into account as such detail was not furnished by the Revenue authorities. I have little doubt however that the whole amount was taken into account.

3 Where Mohatarfa in Municipal towns was taken into account the Patej to both Shambogs and Patals was fixed.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servant
[illegible]
Supt Belgaum Rev Survey

...ion of Municipalities and ... for Municipalities and ... allowances to be given to the ...illage Servants thereby :—.

(Slgm L-J295-96)

Immed
12/4/97

10

This is a very important order. Please submit it to 2nd Pt ... before issue
20/4/99

Subd to 2nd Councillor for approval
BR.
20.4.99

R2 25/4/99
Papers received back with orders.
Re-Subd to 2nd Councillor (Slgm - or)
BR
1.5.99

R2
3.5.99

Read ... :— The foll Pro ... correspondence relating to ...
(1) Para 10 of Govt Proc No 5+ L 31 dated 31st October 1892.

(2) Proc No 3249-56 dated L-J295-96 September 1897

Read:- Readers
(1) Letter No 3479/10/9/97 from P/ ... Inter Bangalore &
(2) D 97 Vol 5 / 273 dated 13 September 0 - - Kolar
(3) Letter No 450 of 5-11-97 - - Tumkur
(4) - 0 - No 577 dated 13-11-97 - 0 Mysore
(5) - 0 - 664 of 31-12-97 - 0 Hassan
(6) - 0 - 688/47 dated 2-12-97 - 0 Shimoga
(7) - 0 - 105 dated 9-2-98 - 0 Kadur
(8) - 0 - 499/470 dated 30-12-97 - 0 Chik
(9) Also Proc No 125-94 / L-J295-96 dated 5-4-98 Supt Re 721
(10) Letter No 125-94 / L-J295-96 dated 14-4-98 Fr ... R L 1749.

Order thereon 1 2704-13 / 295-96

It is observed here ... to when the Govt ... recommended ... the payment of 3 per ... commission to the ... of Chelamangala and ... to recon...

ating House and Mohatarfa

so, the authorized

rate of 2 per cent only was sanctioned

in Pro etc $\frac{365\cdot5\cdot6}{L\cdot F\ 157\cdot92}$ dated 16th Sept (S/107)

1896. ~~and that~~ By Comr of the

several Districts were, however, requested

to state whether in Minor Inams,

where Mohatarfa collections were

small, and had not been taken

into account in fixing the Pakka

stipend of the village servants,

any difficulty was felt in

inducing village servants to

undertake collection by receiving

remuneration of 2 per cent

as in Pro of 31st October 1892

& if so, what other percentage

would propose and on what

grounds

(2) From the replies received,

~~that~~ no change in the

commission as recommended

in cases of Bungalow, Kolar

& Chittaldrug ~~in the~~

inams a separate

exists for collecting the

The cases, while in the remain
Municipalities of the Thana Dis
except Shikarpur, where only
2 per cent commission is allowed
remuneration according to the
scale laid down for Pakka Pot
settlement has been fixed and the
President recommends payment to
the Shanbhog at Shikarpur also
at the Pakka rates. The D/ "ons
of Kadur and Mysore recommend
remuneration of
3 per cent and 5 per cent
respectively to these village ser

(3) Before passing
final orders on the above
proposals, the Superintendent
Rev Survey was requested to
furnish certain additional inform
and he now states that
the receipt of Govt Pro e to
3977/482 of 1st June 1892, no Mohatarfa
has been taken into account in
fixing Pakka in Municipalities
that previous to that order.
Mohatarfa fees taken into acco

in municipalities, the Potgis to both the Shanbhogs and Patels was fixed, but that there are no means of ascertaining whether portions or the whole of the Mohatarfa was taken into account in fixing the Potgi settlement.

(4) Govt consider that although the Mohatarfa in the Municipalities is by source of the prevent by assignment by Govt, development, collection or appropriation will ever long be matters of regulation by Govt and that it seems to be to policy to have the remuneration for its collection by municipal towns ~~independent~~ ~~of~~ distinct from the Potgis. A revision of the Shanbhogs' remuneration with this object and in this direction is desirable, in the municipalities already settled ~~and should~~ ~~not involve much labor~~. and the Superintendent, Revenue Survey and

is accordingly requested to
peruse the Chamblings Police
Manual leaving the collections in such
municipalities entirely out of
account.

(5) The Govt are
pleased to issue the following
instructions for fixing remuneration
to village servants for collection
in Municipal ~~Towns~~ areas in
municipalities other than
those at Bangalore and
Mysore Cities as well as other
towns, where separate agency
already exists for collecting the
~~municipal~~ taxes.

(a) Three per cent may be
adopted as a tentative measure
for the larger municipalities
with incomes above Rs 3600, so
as to secure a remuneration
of not less than Rs 108 per annum

or Rs 9 per mensem for a 38
Shanbhogue,

(b) when this minimum cannot be got in smaller Municipalities, the limit may be raised to 5 per cent;

(c) ~~and~~ when the 5 per cent limit has to be exceeded, the Municipalities concerned may make special recommendations to Government for such ~~increase~~ higher rates as ~~they~~ may be considered necessary.

FRB
7-4-99

Secretary

To

The Dy Commg Districts
and Presidents of Mntes
in the State
Superintendent. Rev Survey
Comptroller.

Issued
April 3/5/99

C
5-7/10/1899

READ AGAIN—

The following Proceedings of Government and correspondence relating to the collection of mohatarfa in minor municipalities and the allowance to be given to the village servants therefor —

(1) Para 10 of Government Proceedings No 5954-63—L F 157-92, dated 31st October 1892

(2) Proceedings No 3249-56—L F 295-96, dated 9th September 1897

READ ALSO—

(1) Letter No 347, dated 10th September 1897, from the President of Municipalities, Bangalore district

(2) Docket No 975—273, dated 13th September 1897, from the President of Municipalities, Kolar district

(3) Letter No 450, dated 5th November 1897, from the President of Municipalities, Tumkur district

(4) Letter No 577, dated 13th November 1897, from the President of Municipalities, Mysore district

(5) Letter No 664, dated 31st December 1897, from the President of Municipalities, Hassan district

(6) Letter No 688—47, dated 2nd December 1897, from the President of Municipalities, Shimoga district

(7) Letter No 105, dated 9th February 1898, from the President of Municipalities, Kudur District

(8) Letter No 499—470, dated 30th December 1897, from the President of Municipalities, Chitaldrug district

(9) Also Proceedings No 12594—L F 295-96, dated 5th April 1898, to Superintendent, Revenue Survey

(10) Letter No 721, dated 14th April 1898, from the Superintendent, Revenue Survey

---

No. 12704-13—L F. 295 96, DATED BANGALORE, 8TH MAY 1899.

ORDER THEREON.—It is observed that when the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore recommended in January 1896, the payment of 3 per cent commission to the village shanbhogs of Nelamangala and Closepet for collecting house and mohatarfa taxes, the authorized rate of 2 per cent only was sanctioned in Proceedings No 3655-6—L. F 157-92, dated 16th September 1896 The Deputy Commissioners of the several districts were, however, requested to state whether in minor municipalities where mohatarfa collections were small, and had not been taken into account in fixing the pakka potgi of the village servants, any difficulty was felt in inducing village servants to undertake collection by receiving the remuneration of 2 per cent fixed in Proceedings of 31st October 1892, and if so, what other percentage they would propose and on what grounds.

2. From the replies received, it appears that no change in the rate of commission is recommended for the districts of Bangalore, Kolar, Hassan and Chitaldrug. In the town of Shimoga a separate establishment exists for collecting the taxes, while in the remaining municipalities of the Shimoga district except Shikarpur, where only 2 per cent commission is allowed, remuneration according to the scale laid down for pakka potgi settlement has been fixed and the President recommends payment to the shanbhog at Shikarpur also at the potgi rates The Deputy Commissioners of Kadur and Mysore recommend a remuneration of 3 per cent and 5 per cent, respectively, to their village servants.

3. Before passing final orders on the above proposals, the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, was requested to furnish certain additional information and he now states that since the receipt of Government Proceedings No. 3977 –482, dated 1st June 1892, no mohatarfa has been taken into account in fixing potgi in municipalities, that in cases where previous to that order, mohatarfa had been taken into account in municipalities, the potgi to both the shanbhogs and patels was fixed, but that there are no means of ascertaining whether portions or the whole mohatarfa was taken into account in fixing the potgi settlement.

4. Government consider that although the source of the mohatarfa revenue in the municipalities is by an assignment by Government, its development, collection and appropriation will ere long be matters of regulation by Statute and that it seems to be sound policy to have the organization for its collection in municipal towns quite distinct. A revision of the shanbhogs' remuneration with this object and in this direction is desirable in the municipalities already settled and the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, is accordingly requested to revise the shanbhogues' potgi, leaving the mohatarfa collections in such municipalities entirely out of account.

5. The Government are pleased to issue the following instructions for fixing remuneration to village servants for collecting municipal taxes in municipalities other than those at Bangalore and Mysore cities as well as other towns, where a separate agency already exists for collecting the taxes:—

(*a*) Three per cent may be adopted as a tentative measure for the larger municipalities with incomes above Rs. 3,600, so as to secure a remuneration of not less than Rs. 108 per annum or Rs. 9 per mensem for a shanbhog;

(*b*) when this minimum cannot be got in smaller municipalities, the limit may be raised to 5 per cent;

(*c*) when the 5 per cent limit has to be exceeded, the municipalities concerned may make special recommendations to Government for such higher rates as may be considered necessary.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioners, of Districts and Presidents of Municipalities in the State.
The Superintendent, Revenue Survey.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Exd.—G.S.

41

1898-99

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

LOCAL BOARDS BRANCH, MUNICIPAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 8th May 1899

No. 12704-13 / L. F. 295-96

Issuing instructions for fixing remuneration to village servants for collecting municipal taxes.

SBP—23-5-99—200